EXHIBIT "A"

FILED: CASESSAUCV-050NIYMALERK DOSYMENT-10120Filed: 10319430

Page 2 of 10, Page 10, #06612/2020

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/20/2020

COUNTY OF NASSAU	Name .			
BETTY-JEAN FORRY,	X	SUMMONS		
- against - TARGET CORPORATION,	Plaintiff,	Index No.: Date Filed:		
	Defendants,			
TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT(s):				

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to appear in this action by serving a notice of appearance on the plaintiff's attorneys within 20 days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service, or within 30 days after service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York. In case of your failure to answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

The basis of the venue designated is plaintiff's place of residence

Dated: Garden City, New York February 18, 2020

Your, etc.

Decolator, Cohen & PiPrisco, LLP

By: Dominic DiPrisco, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff

Peum

1399 Franklin Avenue, Suite 300 Garden City, New York 11530

(516) 742-6575

DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS:

TARGET CORPORATION 1149 Sunrise Highway Copiague, New York 11726 TARGET CORPORATION c/o Secretary of State Albany, New York

SUPREME COURT OF THE S COUNTY OF NASSAU		K. J. N.
BETTY-JEAN FORRY,	X - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 1	Index No.:
-against-	Plaintiff,	VERIFIED COMPLAINT
TARGET CORPORATION,		
	Defendant.	
	2515	

Plaintiff, by her attorneys Decolator, Cohen & DiPrisco, LLP, for her Verified Complaint, respectfully alleges, upon information and belief, as follows:

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

- At all times hereinafter mentioned, plaintiff was and still is a resident of the County of Nassau, State of New York.
- 2. Upon information and belief, defendant, TARGET CORPORATION, was and still is a domestic business corporation, duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.
- 3. Upon information and belief, defendant, TARGET CORPORATION, was and still is a foreign business corporation, duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws one of the states of the United States of America and was and still is duly authorized to do business in the State of New York.
- 4. Upon information and belief, at all times hereinafter mentioned the defendant,
 TARGET CORPORATION, did and/or transacted business in the State of New York and, in fact,
 derived a substantial portion of its income from its business activities in the State of New York.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/20/2020

- 5. Upon information and belief, at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant, TARGET CORPORATION, did business in the State of New York.
- 6. Upon information and belief, at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant, TARGET CORPORATION, was the owner of the premises located at 1149 Sunrise Highway, in the County of Suffolk, State of New York, and, more specifically entrance of the store.
- 7. Upon information and belief, at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant, TARGET CORPORATION, was a tenant, lessee and/or was in lawful possession of the aforedescribed premises.
- 8. That upon information and belief, at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant, TARGET CORPORATION, its agents, servants and/or employees operated and controlled the afore-described premises.
- 9. Upon information and belief, at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant, TARGET CORPORATION, its agents, servants and/or employees, maintained and managed the afore-described premises.
- 10. On or about March 4, 2019, the plaintiff was lawfully on, near or about the aforesaid premises of the defendant herein referred to at the expressed and/or implied invitation of the defendant.
- 11. Upon information and belief, at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant, its agents, servants and/or employees, were charged with the duty of keeping the said entrance and the entire area constituting said premises in a safe and proper condition, sufficient to protect those lawfully in and about the premises and that the defendant owed such a duty to the plaintiff.

- 12. On or about March 4, 2019, while the plaintiff was lawfully on, near or about the entrance of the premises of the defendant, and located 1149 Sunrise Highway, Copiague and solely by reason of the carelessness and negligence of said defendant, its agents, servants and/or employees as hereinafter set forth, the plaintiff was caused to slip and/or fall, causing her to sustain serious personal injuries.
- 13. At the time and place aforesaid, defendant, its agents, servants and/or employees, breached the duties which they owed to the plaintiff of keeping the entrance, property and premise in the said location in a safe and proper condition sufficient to protect those lawfully in and about the premises.
- 14. Defendant, its agents, servants and/or employees were careless, reckless, negligent and grossly negligent in the ownership, operation, maintenance, control, supervision, management and inspection of the premises and entrance; in causing, permitting and allowing the premises and entrance to be, become and remain in an unsafe condition; in causing, permitting and allowing the ground surface at the premises and/or entrance to be covered in ice, snow and/or other slippery material; in causing, permitting and allowing the ground surface at the premises and/or entrance to be slick, wet, icy, snowy and/or slippery; in causing, permitting and allowing the plaintiff to slip and fall on the premises and entrance; in causing, permitting and allowing the premises and entrance to be slippery and icy; in failing to clear the entrance of snow and/or ice; in failing to properly, adequately or completely clear the entrance of ice and/or snow; in failing to salt and/or sand the entrance; in failing to properly, adequately or completely salt and/or sand the entrance; in failing to provide plaintiff with safe passage; in causing, permitting and allowing a trap to be and remain; in failing to abate a nuisance; in failing to keep

a dangerous condition from existing and being present; in failing to set up warnings, hazard signs, cones, barriers or safeguards around the dangerous, hazardous and trap like condition; in failing to give plaintiff warning or signal of the dangerous, hazardous and trap like condition; in failing to properly inspect the premises and entrance; in failing to properly assess the conditions at the premises and entrance; in failing to take steps to reduce or diminish the dangers posed to the plaintiff by the conditions of the premises and entrance; in owning, supervising, managing, maintaining, repairing, inspecting and controlling the aforementioned premises and entrance with reckless disregard for the safety of those persons reasonably expected to be and remain thereat, including the plaintiff; in failing to properly place mats/runners that cover the whole entrance; in failing to inspect and/or adequately and properly inspect the premises and entrance; in allowing and permitting these conditions to exist for an extended period of time, and when defendant knew or should have known that serious personal injury to the plaintiff would or could ensue; in failing to adequately and properly manage and maintain the premises and entrance to prevent the development of a dangerous, hazardous and trap like condition; in violating each and every law, statute, rule, regulation, code and ordinance then and there in effect and existing; in shirking their responsibility with respect to the prevention of foreseeable accidents; and in general being negligent, reckless, grossly negligent and careless. Defendant violated New York State rules and regulations and Suffolk County buildings rules and regulations, rules on means of ingress/egress with regards to maintaining, inspecting and operating the entrance of a building in a safe manner and failing to maintain the entrance in a safe manner. The Court will take judicial notice of all applicable statutes, rules, regulations and codes violated.

- 15. The defendant, its agents, servants, employees and/or subcontractors, were negligent in that they negligently and carelessly maintained their premises and caused the entrance in the area where the plaintiff became injured to be and remain in a dangerous, unsafe, and obstructed condition for an unreasonable length of time after having due notice thereof; causing, permitting and/or creating a dangerous, entrapping and negligent condition to exist, and in failing to warn members of the public of the existence of such dangers.
- 16. Upon information and belief, at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant, its agents, servants, employees, and/or subcontractors, had actual and/or constructive notice of all of the foregoing defective and negligent conditions existing in the premises involved herein.
- 17. The injuries sustained by the plaintiff herein were sustained without any fault, want of care and/or culpable conduct on the part of the plaintiff herein but solely by virtue of the negligence and carelessness of the defendant herein.
- 18. Solely by reason of the foregoing, and the carelessness and the negligence of the defendant, its agents, servants, employees and/or subcontractors, the plaintiff was rendered sick, sore, lame and disabled, suffered great pain, shock and anguish, both internally and externally, and has, upon information and belief, been permanently injured.
- 19. As a result of the aforesaid occurrence and solely by reason of the carelessness and negligence of the defendant herein, the plaintiff became totally disabled for a period of time and verily believes that such disability will continue for some time in the future all to her further damage.

- 20. As a result of the aforesaid occurrence and by reason of the carelessness and negligence of the defendant herein, the plaintiff was unable to perform her usual activities and was prevented from doing so all to her further damage.
- 21. By reason of the carelessness and solely by reason of the negligence of the defendant herein, the plaintiff was obliged to and did necessarily pay and become liable for hospital and medical care and attention and medication and other expenses, all to her further damage.
- 22. This action falls within one or more of the exceptions set forth in CPLR Section 1602.
- 23. That, by reason of the foregoing, the plaintiff has sustained damages in an amount in excess of the maximum monetary jurisdiction of all lower courts of the State of New York.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment against the defendant in the First Cause of Action in an amount in excess of the maximum monetary jurisdiction of all lower courts of the State of New York, together with interest, and the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated: Garden City, New York February 18, 2020

Yours, etc.

Decolator, Cohen & DiPrisco, LLP

By: Dominic DiPrisco, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

1399 Franklin Avenue, Suite 300

Garden City, New York 11530

(516) 742-6575

TLED	Case 2	394 - 65019 MASHRK POZUZOV 202 Filed 10/19/20 Pa	.ge 9 of 10. \mathbb{R}_{3} geID #: $_{6}$ 13 $_{12/20}$:
	DOGGALNO		RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/20/20
-	By Attorney	has been compared by me with the original and found to be a true and complete copy.	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Attorney's	state that I am	
plical	Affirmation	the attorney(s) of record for Plaintiff	in the within
nck Ag	action; I	have read the foregoing Summons and Complaint	and know the contents thereof;
-5	The same	have read the foregoing Summons and Complaint is true to my own knowledge, except as to the matters therein alleged to be on informit to be true. The reason this verification is made by me and not by	nation and belief, and as to those matters
	1 ocheve	a to be due, the reason this verification is made by the and his by	use the plaintiff resides
Ü	in a cour	ity other than the one in which your deponent maintains his office.	
		nds of my belief as to all matters not stated upon my own knowledge are as follows:	conversations with
3		and office records.	TANA.
\$			MAN A MINUTE
Dated		oregoing statements are true, under the penalties of perjury.	The name signed must be printed beneath
STAT	OF NICW	wdswCitouNewoYork; February 20, 2020 ss.	Dominic DiPrisco
		I, being duly sworn, depose and say: I am	
. 🗆	Individual	in the action; I have read the foregoing	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH
N H	1	and know the contents thereof; the sai	me is true to my own knowledge, except
agle	Viscoli -	as to the matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and as to those	matters I believe it to be true.
量」	Corporate Verification	the of	
L A			within action. I have read the foregoing
	except as	to the matters therein stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and as to the	ose matters I believe it to be true. This
	verification	on is made by me because the above party is a corporation and I am an officer thereof.	
The gr	ounds of n	y belief as to all matters not stated upon my own knowledge are as follows:	
Sworn	to before i	ne on	The name signed must be printed beneath
4	9		
		YORK, COUNTY OF SS.: (If more than on	box is check—indicate after names type of service used.)
i, the t	maersigner	, being sworn, say: I am not a party to the action, am over 18 years of age and reside at	
Ол		I served the within	
	Service By Mail	by mailing a copy to each of the following persons at the last known address set forth	after each name below.
	Personal	by delivering a true copy of each personally to each person named below at the addre	ss indicated. I knew each person served
* E 🗆	Service on Individual	to be the person mentioned and described in said papers as a party therein:	
曹一	Service by	by transmitting a copy to the following persons by FAX at the telephone number set	forth after each name below DE-MAIL
Check Applicable Box	Electronic Means	at the E-Mail address set forth after each name below, which was designated by the att copy to the address set forth after each name.	orney for such purpose, and by mailing a
, ag 🗆	Overnight Delivery	by dispatching a copy by overnight delivery to each of the following persons at the las	t known address set forth after each
	Service	name below.	Tallows and the same of the sa

Sworn to before me on

The name signed must be printed beneath

FILED ASSESSED OF THE PROPERTY OF THE TOTAL PAGE 10 of 10 Page 10 40 14 2/2020 NYSCEF DOGOLATY OF NASSAU RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/20/2020 BETTY-JEAN FORRY, Plaintiff. -against-TARGET CORPORATION Defendant. SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT DECOLATOR, COHEN, & DIPRISCO, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW Attorneys for Plaintiff Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 1399 Franklin Avenue • Suite 300 GARDEN CITY, NEW YORK 11530 TEL: (516) 742-6575 FAX: (516) 742-6706 Signature (Rule 130-1 To Attorney(s) for Dominic DiPrisco Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for Please take notice NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of a duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on I NOTICE OF BETTLEMENT of which the within is a true copy will be presented for that an order settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named court, at M at Dated, Yours, etc. DECOLATOR, COHEN, & DIPRISCO, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Attorneys for

Office and Post Office Address 1399 Franklin Avenue • Suite 300 GARDEN CITY, NEW YORK 11530

Attorney(s) for

To